

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/071,131

Applicant(s)

UMEMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Cathy Lam

Art Unit

1775

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cathy Lam.

(3) _____.

(2) Atty: Ken Salen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kawakami et al (US 6426551).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant was asked to delete the phrase "of the laminate type" from the product claims. Applicant was also asked to cancel claims 23 and all the non-elected method claims. Kawakami (US 6426551) Fig. 3 and column 10 L 59- column 11 L 3, broadly reads on claim 23.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required